

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT  
AT CHRISTCHURCH**

**ENV-2021-CHC-022**

**I MUA I TE KOTI TAIAO  
O AOTEAROA OTAUTAHU ROHE**

**UNDER THE**

Resource Management Act 1991 ("**RMA**")

**IN THE MATTER OF**

an appeal under clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 of the RMA against decisions of the Queenstown Lake District Council on Stage 3 of the Queenstown Lakes Proposed District Plan

**BETWEEN**

**MARC SCAIFE**

Appellant

**AND**

**QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL**

Respondent

---

**NOTICE OF CARDRONA CATTLE COMPANY LIMITED'S  
WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS**

**15 JUNE 2021**

---

---

Counsel instructed:

**JGH** BARRISTER

J D K Gardner-Hopkins

Phone: 04 889 2776

[james@jghbarrister.com](mailto:james@jghbarrister.com)

PO Box 25-160

**WELLINGTON**

**TO:** The Registrar  
Environment Court  
**CHRISTCHURCH**  
By email: [Christine.McKee@justice.govt.nz](mailto:Christine.McKee@justice.govt.nz)

**AND TO:** The Appellant  
By email: [marc@scaife.nz](mailto:marc@scaife.nz)

**AND TO:** The Respondent  
By email: [dpappeals@glde.govt.nz](mailto:dpappeals@glde.govt.nz)

### **Wish to be party**

1. The Cardrona Cattle Company Limited ("**s274 Party**") wishes to be a party to the following proceedings ("**Appeal**"): **ENV-2021-CHC-022**

### **Interest**

2. The s274 Party:
  - (a) made a submission on the subject matter to which the Appeal relates; and
  - (b) also has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has because the Appeal may impact on its interests.

### **No prohibited trade competition purposes**

3. The s274 Party is not a trade competitor for the purposes of Section 308D of the Act.

### **Extent of interest**

4. The s274 Party has an interest in all aspects of the Appeal, but, without limitation, has a particular interest in the text of Chapter 46 and any related District Wide or Strategic provisions, including the following matters raised in the Appeal:
  - (a) the appellant's assertion that notification and public participation was flawed.
  - (b) the appellant's issue with the widened scope of the RVZ;
  - (c) the appellant's assertion the RVZ is inconsistent with Part 2 of RMA including effects on amenity values, and the integrated management function of a local authority;
  - (d) the Appellant's assertion that the RVZ does not manage the Districts' Outstanding Natural Landscapes, including cumulative adverse effects; and
  - (e) the notification rules for restricted discretionary activities.

**Relief sought**

5. The s274 Party opposes the relief sought in the Appeal, including because the relief sought fails to give effect to Part 2 and the relevant planning instruments in the hierarchy, except to the extent that it is consistent with the s274 Party's own appeal (including subject to any amendments or withdrawals).

**Mediation**

6. The s274 Party agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceeding.

**DATED** 15 June 2021



---

J D K Gardner-Hopkins  
**Counsel for the s274 Party**

The s274 Party's address for service is C/- James Gardner-Hopkins, Barrister, PO Box 25-160, Wellington 6011.

Documents for service on the s274 Party may be sent to that address for service or may be emailed to [james@jghbarrister.com](mailto:james@jghbarrister.com). Service by email is preferred, with receipt confirmed by return email.