



FORM 5: SUBMISSION

ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN OR PLAN CHANGE OR VARIATION OR POLICY STATEMENT



QLDC Wanaka
02 OCT 2023

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

TO // Queenstown Lakes District Council

Name of submitter [full name] *Georgina Currie*

This is a submission on the following proposed policy statement (or on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following policy statement or plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed policy statement or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing policy statement or plan) (the **proposal**):

NAME OF // Proposed or existing policy statement or plan and (where applicable) change or variation

S.5.1 + 13.5.10

I could / could not**

gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

*I am / am not**

directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission:
(a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

* Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
** Select one.

SPECIFIC PROVISIONS // Of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

[give details]
Intensification & increases in height limit

MY SUBMISSION

[Include: whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your view]
There's not enough infrastructure in Wanaka to properly support the extent of development enabled by the provisions of the variation. I also don't think it's needed ~~as~~ as there's enough land to support growth outwards rather than upwards

*If your submission relates to a proposed policy statement or plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:
 > whether you consider that the proposed plan or policy statement or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
 > In the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan or policy statement should be modified.
 * This paragraph may be deleted if the proposal is not subject to a collaborative planning process.



I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION // From the local authority

[give precise details]

That these variations be rejected & the existing rules be maintained

*I wish / do not wish**

to be heard in support of my submission.

I will / will not**

consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

* In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.

** Select one.

SIGNATURE

**Signature

[or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter]

Date 30/09/23

** A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

YOUR DETAILS // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by email and phone.

Electronic address for service of submitter [email]

Telephone [work]

[home]

[mobile]

0279208414

Postal Address

[or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act]

Post code

Contact person [name and designation, if applicable]

NOTE // To person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- > it is frivolous or vexatious:
- > it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- > it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- > it contains offensive language:
- > it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

